Effect of 5α-Reductase Inhibitor Use on Mortality From Prostate Cancer
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The role of androgens as a key driver of prostate carcinogenesis has been known for 50 years. In the early 1990s, with the development of finasteride, a selective inhibitor of the type 2 isoenzyme of 5α-reductase (5-AR) that lowers intraprostatic dihydrotestosterone levels, it was hypothesized that 5-AR inhibition might prevent prostate cancer. Two phase 3 studies, the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial (PCPT) and the Reduction by Dutasteride of Prostate Cancer Events (REDUCE) trial, were designed to investigate the effect of finasteride (PCPT) and dutasteride (REDUCE) on prostate cancer incidence.

The PCPT was initiated in 1993 as the first large population-based trial to test a chemopreventive strategy against prostate cancer. This prospective, randomized, double-blinded, and placebo-controlled trial enrolled 18,882 men 55 years or older with a normal digital rectal examination (DRE) result and serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level of 3.0 ng/mL or lower (to convert to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1) and assigned them to treatment with finasteride, 5 mg/d, or placebo. After 4 years, among the 6,729 men who had undergone at least 1 prostate biopsy, 25.1% of the placebo group and 19.9% of the dutasteride group had been diagnosed as having prostate cancer, a statistically significant difference paralleling the PCPT results. The REDUCE trial initially concluded that over the 4 years of the trial there was no significant increase in the incidence of tumors with Gleason grade 7 to 10 in the dutasteride group compared with the placebo group; however, during years 3 and 4, there were 12 tumors with a Gleason grade 8 to 10 in the dutasteride group compared with only 1 in the placebo group (P = .003). Subsequent pathologic reassessment by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) detected an absolute increase of 0.5% and 0.7% in the incidence of high-grade (Gleason grade 8-10) cancer with dutasteride and finasteride, respectively.

In 2011, the FDA not only denied the application for dutasteride as a chemoprevention agent, a warning of a potential for increased risk of high-grade prostate cancer with the use of 5-AR inhibitors (5-ARIs) used in the treatment of benign prostatic hypertrophy was issued. On the basis of this package insert warning, some physicians changed their practice to minimize the use of 5-ARIs for men who could benefit from their use. Since then, few studies have provided insight into whether such a concern was warranted.

As reported in this issue of JAMA Oncology, Azoulay and colleagues took a unique approach to address this controversy. Rather than reanalyze the 2 prevention trials and assess survival at later time points, they looked at 4 linked health care databases from the United Kingdom. This allowed them to analyze 13,892 men with newly diagnosed prostate cancer between a 10-year period. Their hypothesis was that men who had received a 5-ARI prior to the diagnosis of prostate cancer should be more commonly diagnosed as having high-grade disease and ultimately have worse outcomes. After 4.5 years of follow-up, 5,001 deaths occurred in the study population, with 2,439 deaths from prostate cancer. They found that 5-ARI use before the diagnosis of prostate cancer was not associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer-specific mortality or all-cause mortality. These results augment the long-term follow-up findings of the PCPT, showing no mortality increase in the finasteride group.

The role of 5-ARIs as chemopreventive agents for prostate cancer remains uncertain. On the one hand, reduction of low-
grade tumor incidence is unlikely to translate to a reduction in prostate cancer mortality; on the other hand, such a reduction could reduce disease overdetection and overtreatment, a serious concern that led the US Preventive Services Task Force to recommend against PSA screening.¹⁰ The study by Azoulay et al⁸ suggests that 5-ARI use is unlikely to increase prostate cancer mortality in men receiving them for BPH, reassuring those men on the symptom relief they may provide.
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