RNA Sequencing to Predict Response to Neoadjuvant Anti-HER2 Therapy
A Secondary Analysis of the NeoALTTO Randomized Clinical Trial
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IMPORTANCE  In neoadjuvant trials, treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancers with dual HER2 blockade resulted in increased pathologic complete response (pCR) rates compared with each targeted agent alone. Amplification and/or overexpression of HER2 currently remains the only biomarker for therapeutic decisions, but it is insufficient to explain the heterogeneous response to anti-HER2 agents.

OBJECTIVE  To investigate the ability of clinically and biologically relevant genes and gene signatures (GSs) measured by RNA sequencing to predict the efficacy of anti-HER2 agents.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS  The neoadjuvant NeoALTTO trial randomized 455 women with HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer to trastuzumab, lapatinib, or the combination for 6 weeks followed by the addition of weekly paclitaxel for 12 weeks, followed by 3 cycles of fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide after surgery. The present substudy, which was planned in the NeoALTTO main protocol, evaluated the association of pretreatment gene expression levels defined using RNA sequencing with pCR and event-free survival (EFS).

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES  Gene expression–based biomarkers using RNA sequencing were examined for their association with response to anti-HER2 therapy and long-term outcome.

RESULTS  Sequencing data were available for 254 (56%) of the NeoALTTO participants (mean [SD] age of substudy participants, 48.8 [11.2] years). The expression of ERBB2/HER2 was the most significant predictor of pCR, followed by HER2-enriched subtype, ESR1, treatment arm, ER immunohistochemical analysis scores, Genomic Grade Index, immune, proliferation, and AKT/mTOR GSs. Adjusting for clinicopathological variables and treatment arms, ERBB2/HER2, HER2-enriched subtype, ESR1, and Genomic Grade Index remained significant. Immune GSs were associated with higher pCR only in the combination arm (odds ratio, 2.1; 95% CI, 1.2-4.0; interaction test \( P = .01 \)), while the stroma GSs were significantly associated with higher pCR in the single arms and with lower pCR in the combination arm (odds ratio, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.25-0.84; \( P = .009 \)). None of the evaluated variables was associated with EFS after correction for multiple testing, but this analysis was underpowered.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE  High levels of ERBB2/HER2 and low levels of ESR1 were associated with pCR in all treatment arms. In the combination arm, high expression of immune and stroma GSs were significantly associated with higher and lower pCR rates, respectively, and should be further explored as candidate predictive markers.
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The combination of trastuzumab with either lapatinib or pertuzumab and chemotherapy has been shown to be effective for the treatment of patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer. Following the improvement in survival observed in patients with metastatic disease, \(^1\)\(^2\) the drug combination has been investigated in early breast cancer. \(^3\)\(^-\)\(^8\) Whereas superior efficacy compared with standard trastuzumab therapy has not been shown yet in the adjuvant setting, \(^7\) in neoadjuvant trials the dual blockade generally resulted in increased pathologic complete response (pCR) rates compared with each targeted agent alone. \(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)

Despite their clinical activity, anti-HER2 treatments do not exert the same effect on all patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. To date, several candidate predictive biomarkers have been explored, among which are activation of the PI3K pathway, \(^9\)\(^-\)\(^13\) the presence of a truncated form of HER2 receptor (p95HER2), \(^14\)\(^,\)\(^15\) HER2 serum levels, \(^16\) and tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs). \(^17\) These studies have given inconsistent results, and none of these biomarkers has demonstrated clinical utility so far. For the time being, amplification and/or overexpression of HER2 remains the only biomarker for therapeutic decision making, \(^18\) even though it has been shown inadequate to explain the heterogeneous response to anti-HER2 agents. \(^19\)

In this study, we took the unique opportunity to profile the transcriptome of pretreatment frozen lesions obtained from patients enrolled in the NeoALTTO trial, \(^5\)\(^,\)\(^20\) and attempted to explore biomarkers associated with treatment response and long-term outcome. For this purpose, we defined a series of clinically relevant single genes and gene expression signatures previously associated with either response or resistance to trastuzumab treatment, \(^21\)\(^-\)\(^26\) and we correlated them with pCR and survival information.

### Methods

#### Patient Population

The NeoALTTO trial was a multicenter, randomized phase 3 trial in which 455 patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer were randomly assigned to receive lapatinib 1500 mg/d, trastuzumab (4 mg/kg loading dose followed by 2 mg/kg), or the combination of lapatinib 1000 mg/d and the same dose of trastuzumab for 6 weeks followed by the addition of paclitaxel (80 mg/m\(^2\)) for 12 weeks before surgery. Lapatinib doses were reduced during the paclitaxel administration. After surgery, all patients received 3 cycles of fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide and then continued the same anti-HER2-targeted therapy as administered in the neoadjuvant setting to complete a total duration of 1 year. Patients were recruited between January 5, 2008, and May 27, 2010. Eligible patients had to have a HER2-positive primary breast cancer with a minimum tumor size of 2 cm and adequate cardiac function. The HER2 status was defined either in local accredited laboratories or in a central laboratory while the estrogen receptor (ER) status was defined according to local guidelines (for additional details refer to the eMethods in the Supplement).

The primary end point was pCR according to either the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) criteria (absence of invasive tumor cells in the breast) or the Food and Drug Administration criteria (absence of invasive tumor cells in the breast and in the axillary lymph nodes). Event-free survival (EFS) was the main secondary end point and was defined as the time from randomization to first event. For women who received surgery for breast cancer, events were defined as breast cancer relapse after surgery, second primary malignant neoplasm, or death without recurrence. For women who did not undergo surgery for breast cancer, events were death during clinical follow-up or noncompletion of any neoadjuvant investigational product because of disease progression. Additional details can be found in the original publications. \(^3\)\(^,\)\(^20\) In this substudy, we used pCR rates as defined by the NSABP criteria. \(^27\)

The NeoALTTO trial was approved by the ethics committee and relevant health authorities of all the participating sites. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients at study entry, which also covered future biomarker research. This substudy was part of the NeoALTTO main protocol.

#### Samples Collection and Processing

To participate in the trial, patients were asked to provide 2 cores of snap frozen tissue of their primary tumor prior to the initiation of neoadjuvant therapy. At least 1 baseline biopsy was obtained from 423 of the 455 patients enrolled in the trial. As shown in Figure 1, RNA of enough quality and quantity was obtained for 263 patients. From these RNA samples, strand-specific complementary DNA libraries were constructed using the NEB Next Ultra directional RNA library Preparation Kit for Illumina paired-end sequencing on the HiSeq 2500 system (Illumina) following the internal standard operating procedures of GATC Biotech AG. Nine samples failed the library construction step, while the remaining 254 were sequenced. Additional details on the samples processing and sequencing can be found in the eMethods in the Supplement.

#### RNA Sequencing Data Processing

Read pairs were trimmed using Trimmomatic. \(^28\) Alignment was performed using STAR. \(^29\) The number of reads mapping to each
gene was then assessed with the R statistical software\(^{30}\) with the Rsamtools package.\(^{31}\) Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) were defined as the number of fragments (or both members of a read pair) mapping a gene per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads, using the most common gene isoform as the transcript. Gene expression levels were corrected for library batch effects using ComBat.\(^{32}\)

Besides the expression of the ERBB2/HER2, ESR1, and androgen receptor (AR) genes, we evaluated the following gene expression signatures: 3 immune signatures (Immune1,\(^{21}\) Immune2,\(^{22}\) Immune3\(^{23}\))；2 proliferation signatures (Genomic Grade Index [GGI],\(^{24}\) aurka\(^{25}\))；an AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway signature\(^{25}\)；and 2 stroma signatures (Stroma1\(^{26}\)；Stroma2\(^{27}\)). The signature scores were calculated as a weighted sum of the log-expressions of their genes, with gene-specific weights equal to +1 or −1 depending on the direction of their association with the phenotype in the original publication.

The expression levels were made comparable to those of HER2-positive samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)\(^{33}\) by using the cross-studies normalization of the R package genefu\(^{34}\) (R package version 2.3.0). A merged data set was obtained by adding the renormalized NeoALTTO samples to all TCGA samples. PAM50 subtypes were then determined using genefu on the merged data set.\(^{35}\)

**Statistical Analysis**

The relationship between pCR, EFS, and the expression of genes and gene signatures was assessed using logistic regressions and Cox proportional hazard models adjusted for age (as continuous variable), ER status (positive vs negative), tumor size (≥T3 vs T2), nodal status (N0 vs N1-N3), histological grade (1-2 vs 3), and treatment arm. All statistical analyses were performed using R.\(^{30}\) All interaction and multivariate tests were done using analysis of variance to compare the models with and without the extra term. The reported odds and/or hazard ratios come from the fit of the regression and/or Cox proportional hazard models adjusted for the covariates. Correction for multiple testing was done using the qvalue package.\(^{36}\) P values (after multiple testing correction if needed) less than .05 were considered significant.

The segmentation of ESR1 and ERBB2/HER2 expression in 2 groups was done using the C-Means algorithm on each feature separately on the merged TCGA/NeoALTTO data set.

**Results**

**Sequenced CohortNot Significantly Different From the Whole Study Cohort**

A total of 455 patients were enrolled in the NeoALTTO trial\(^{3}\); for 254 of them (55.8%) RNA sequencing data were obtained (Figure 1). Eighty-nine patients (35.0%) were enrolled in the lapatinib arm, 79 (31.1%) in the trastuzumab arm, and 86 (33.9%) in the combination arm. No significant differences in terms of patient characteristics were observed between the subgroup and whole NeoALTTO population (eTable 1 in the Supplement).

**Clustering of Breast Cancer Samples in Function of Their ESR1 and ERBB2/HER2 Expression Levels**

When the samples are plotted in 2 dimensions in function of their mRNA expression levels of ESR1 and ERBB2/HER2 (Figure 2A), they appear to cluster in 3 groups: a high ERBB2/HER2 and low ESR1 group (approximately 45% of the samples), a high ERBB2/HER2 and high ESR1 group (approximately 35% of the samples), and a low ERBB2/HER2 group (approximately 20% of the samples). As shown in Figure 2A, most of the observed pCRs (red dots) cluster in the group of patients with high ERBB2/HER2 and low ESR1 expression levels. In fact, 59 (47%) of these patients had a pCR, while only 24 of 76 (32%) of patients in the high ERBB2/HER2 and high ESR1 group and 5 of 33 (9%) of those in the low ERBB2/HER2 group achieved a pCR (P < .001 for the pCR difference between the 3 groups; P = .04 between high ERBB2/HER2 with low ESR1 and high ERBB2/HER2 with high ESR1 with Fisher exact test). When our samples were plotted together with TCGA samples classified according to their PAM50 profile, roughly 20% of HER2-enriched breast cancers show lower ERBB2/HER2 expression levels, similar to what we found in our cohort (Figure 2B).

**Comparison Between Standard Testing and RNA Sequencing Data**

We also compared the mRNA levels of ERBB2/HER2 and ESR1 with the HER2 and ER status defined by immunohistochemical analysis (IHC) and/or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) before study entrance. As shown in eFigure 1 in the Supplement, the expression levels of ERBB2/HER2 showed a weak correlation with the percentage of stained cells at IHC (P = 0.25) and the FISH ratios (P = 0.34). Because in the NeoALTTO study the HER2 status could be defined either locally or centrally, we also compared the expression levels of ERBB2/HER2 between the 2 groups. As shown in eFigure 2 in the Supplement, the expression levels of...
pCR Rates According to the PAM50 Classification

We next defined the subtype of our substudy population using the PAM50 classifier. As presented in eTable 2 in the Supplement, 110 (43%) of our population was classified as HER2 enriched. The remaining cases were classified as luminal A (23%), luminal B (16%), basal-like (9%), or normal (8%). Fifty-seven of the 88 (65%) pCRs observed in our substudy were achieved by patients whose tumors were classified as HER2 enriched, while the remainder were distributed among the other 4 subtypes (P < .001).

Clustering of Gene Signatures in a Few Groups
eFigure 4 in the Supplement shows the correlation between the single genes and gene signatures that were analyzed in this study. As expected, a high correlation was observed between the 3 immune-related signatures (ρ = 0.84, 0.89, and 0.92), the 2 proliferation-related signatures (ρ = 0.95), and the 2 stroma-related signatures (ρ = 0.88). Moderate positive correlation was observed between the AKT/mTOR signature and the 2 proliferation-related ones (ρ = 0.49). A moderate, inverse correlation was found between the stroma and the proliferation signatures (ρ = −0.38 to −0.62).

Association of Single Genes, Gene Signatures, Treatment, and Clinicopathologic Parameters With pCR

In a univariate model, the association between pCR and single genes, gene signatures, treatment, and clinicopathologic parameters was tested using logistic regression. In the full study cohort (Figure 3A), the main drivers of pCR were ERBB2/HER2 levels, HER2-enriched subtype based on PAM50 classification, ESR1 mRNA levels, ER IHC scores, and the treatment arm. The GGI, proliferation, AKT-mTOR, and 2 immune signatures were also significant at a false discovery rate level of 5%. When each arm was considered separately, immune signatures were associated with pCR only in the combination arm, while the GGI remained significant only in the lapatinib arm (eFigure 5 in the Supplement). One of the stroma signatures was significantly associated with lower pCR in the combination arm.

We then assessed the independence of the predictive power of single genes and gene signatures adjusting for clinicopathological parameters and treatment arms (Figure 3B). ESR1, ERBB2/HER2, HER2-enriched subtype, and the GGI were associated with pCR. Interestingly, the level of expression of ESR1 remained significant after accounting for the ER status determined using IHC; the same was found for dichotomized ESR1 expression levels (P = .01). Conversely, ER status measured by IHC was not significant when controlling for ESR1 mRNA expression, both as a continuous (P = .73) and a dichotomized variable (P = .91). The HER2-enriched subtype and the ERBB2/HER2 gene expression levels were independently associated with pCR, probably because the HER2-enriched subtype classification takes into account the levels of expression of the ESR1 gene and proliferation. ERBB2/HER2 expression levels remain predictive even among the high ERBB2/HER2 group as defined in Figure 2A (P = .02).
When adding a treatment interaction term in the model, we noticed no significant differences between the lapatinib and trastuzumab arms (data not shown). We then performed an interaction test considering the combination arm vs the 2 single arms together (eFigure 6 in the Supplement). We observed that the immune signatures were significantly associated with higher pCR rates in the combination arm only (odds ratio, 2.1; 95% CI, 1.2-4.0; interaction test \( P = .01 \)), while the stroma signatures were significantly associated with higher pCR rates in the single arms and with low pCR rates in the combination arm (odds ratio, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.25-0.84; \( P = .009 \)). These associations were not significant when considering the combination vs the trastuzumab arm (eFigure 7 in the Supplement). As the effect sizes remain similar, we attribute this loss of significance to the smaller number of patients after the lapatinib arm was removed.

**Association of Single Genes, Gene Expression Signatures, Treatment, and Clinicopathologic Parameters With EFS**

We then explored the association of single genes, gene expression signatures, treatment, and clinicopathologic parameters with EFS. In a univariate model, only nodal status and \( AR \) expression were associated with EFS but not after correction for multi-testing (eFigure 8 in the Supplement). None of the markers was associated with EFS using a test for interaction with treatment (eFigure 9 in the Supplement). We acknowledge that these analyses were underpowered.
Discussion

This study represents one of the largest analyses from a randomized clinical trial that evaluated the expression of single genes and gene signatures associated with pCR and outcome in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. Our results confirm that in the neoadjuvant setting, the expression levels of both ERBB2/HER2 and ESR1 genes remain the most important determinants of pCR as compared with standard tests in this patient population. Lower pCR rates were found in the tumors with low ERBB2/HER2 gene expression levels, although we cannot exclude that some of those tumors might be falsely HER2 positive. The predictive value of ERBB2/HER2 expression levels emerged in other neoadjuvant trials using either trastuzumab or its combination with lapatinib or pertuzumab, independently of the technology used including RNA sequencing. Additional evidence, however, is needed before implementation of RNA sequencing in the clinical setting.

An inverse correlation between the expression levels of the ESR1 gene and pCR was recently observed in the neoadjuvant setting. The fact that in our study the level of expression of ESR1 remained significant after accounting for the ER status determined using IHC, and not the opposite, suggests that also in this case ESR1 mRNA levels are more predictive than its protein levels; however, we cannot exclude that this finding is due to the ER testing being performed only in local laboratories in the NeoALTTO trial.

Using a univariate analysis, gene expression signatures representing T-cell–driven immune response and proliferation were significantly associated with pCR. Association between immune signals and response to anti-HER2 treatment has been recently observed in other neoadjuvant and adjuvant trials. The consistent finding in both settings by independent groups places the immune response at the forefront of biomarkers worthy of being applied in clinical practice, as well as for future drug development in the field of HER2-positive breast cancer.

In our study, we did not find a significant correlation between immune signatures and EFS, contrary to what was reported for TILs. We found a moderate correlation between immune signatures and stromal TILs, which might explain this discrepancy. A plausible explanation might be that TILs and immune signatures capture different aspects of the host and/or tumor response against cancer. Similar discrepancies were reported by Perez et al in the adjuvant setting.

Interestingly, in our study, the predictive value of the immune signatures showed an interaction only with the combination arm. The mechanisms explaining the synergy between lapatinib and trastuzumab are not fully elucidated. One of the proposed explanations is that the synergistic inhibitory effect of HER2 signaling determined by the 2 drugs can enhance apoptosis and results in a superior effect of the drug combination compared with each single drug. Another suggested mechanism is that when used in combination with trastuzumab, lapatinib increases the trastuzumab-mediated antibody-dependent cytotoxicity as it determines accumulations of inactive HER2 receptors at the cell surface.

The 2 stroma signatures that we evaluated showed instead a peculiar behavior as they predicted higher pCR rates in the single arms but lower pCR rates in the combination arm. The signature developed by Farmer and colleagues, which captures the activation state of the tumor stroma, predicted poor response to anthracycline-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ER-negative patients and in HER2-positive patients treated with chemotherapy only. High expression of the PLAU signature was reported by Desmedt et al to be associated with poor clinical outcome in patients with HER2-positive, untreated breast cancer. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the predictive value of these signatures has been evaluated in a cohort of patients receiving anti-HER2 treatment, and their opposite role in modulating the response to single vs combined agents needs to be further explored.

In our study, none of the markers that we evaluated (including those associated with pCR) were associated with EFS. The NeoALTTO trial was not originally powered to evaluate the difference between the treatment arms in terms of EFS, and our findings could be weakened by the low statistical power.

Our study has various strengths. It is a preplanned analysis of a randomized phase 3 trial in which the analysis was performed on prospectively collected frozen tissue samples using the latest technology to evaluate gene expression. This strengthens the reliability of the obtained results. The only hand, only 60% of the collected samples were evaluable, mostly due to low cellularity, which is always a challenge when evaluating tumor biopsies rather than whole surgical specimens. This, however, has not prevented us from finding valuable pCR-associated biomarkers.

Conclusions

The results of this study support the existence of significant molecular heterogeneity among HER2-positive breast cancers and the influence of both estrogen signaling and tumor microenvironment in the response to anti-HER2 therapies. Future studies should take this knowledge into account and aim to determine how these factors could be used to individualize the treatment of patients with HER2-positive disease.
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